

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/813,129	03/21/2001	Takeshi Nishiuchi	010337	1444
23850 75	7590 04/09/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			BUEKER, RICHARD R	
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1763	

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/813,129	NISHIUCHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Richard Bueker	1763	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (102) in compliance that it	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check eith	ner a) or b)]
 a)	m the mailing date of the final rejection. O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petiti have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresp 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to a	filed within the period set forth in avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration	and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a correspon	iding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: In proposed amended claim 1, lines 14-16 represent a ne	w issue after final.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration application in condition for allowance because:	on has been considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not draised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will no explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected	t be entered or b)⊡ will be entered and an d is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 2.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-144	9) Paper No(s)
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	
	Rule 3 Bul
	Richard Bueker Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 10. Other: The certified translation of applicants' priority document perfects applicants' claim for priority, and the effective filing date of the application is now March 23, 2000. This filing date removes the European patent document (EP 0992605) from the prior art, and the rejection based on EP 0992605 has been removed. The rejection based on Tochishita (US 6,280,792) remains in effect. An initialed copy of the Form 1449 filed March 29, 2004 is attached to this advisory action.